```
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON
 1
                FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH
 2
 3
    THE ESTATE OF MICHELLE
    SCHWARZ, deceased, by and
    through her Personal
    Representative, RICHARD
                                 )
    SCHWARZ,
                                 ) Vol. 36-A
 7
                   Plaintiff,
                                ) Circuit Court
 8
                                 ) Case No. 0002-01376
             vs.
9
    PHILIP MORRIS INCORPORATED,
                                )
10
    a foreign corporation, and
                                )
    ROTHS I.G.A. FOODLINER,
                                )
11
   INCORPORATED, an Oregon
    corporation,
12
                  Defendants.
13
14
                 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
15
                 BE IT REMEMBERED, That the above-
16
   entitled matter came on regularly for Jury Trial
17 and was heard before the Honorable Roosevelt
    Robinson, Judge of the Circuit Court of the County
18
    of Multnomah, State of Oregon, commencing at 9:00
19
    a.m., Friday, March 1, 2002.
20
21
22
23
24
               Jennifer L. Wiles, CSR, RPR.
              710 Multnomah County Courthouse
25
                   1021 SW Fourth Avenue
                   Portland, Oregon 97204
```

```
1
 2
    APPEARANCES:
         Mr. D. Lawrence Wobbrock, Attorney at Law,
          Mr. Charles S. Tauman, Attorney at Law,
          Mr. Richard A. Lane, Attorney at Law,
             Appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff;
 5
 6
          Mr. James L. Dumas, Attorney at Law,
          Mr. John W. Phillips, Attorney at Law,
            Appearing on behalf of Defendant
            Philip Morris, Incorporated and Defendant
 8
            Roths I.G.A. Foodliner, Incorporated;
 9
10
11
                            * * *
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

(March 1, 2002) 1 * * * 2 3 A.M. PROCEEDINGS 4 5 (Whereupon, the proceedings were reported, in Room 608, out of the presence of the jury, as 6 follows:) * * * 7 THE CLERK: All rise. 9 Court is in session. 10 THE COURT: Good morning. And please be 11 seated. 12 Are there any matters for the Court before 13 we bring the jury? 14 MR. TAUMAN: Your Honor, I don't think so 15 because Mr. Phillips has indicated that no 16 documents to which we have registered an 17 objection are going to be used with Mr. Burnley today. So, we don't have -- we were going to 18 19 bring something up so as to not interrupt his 20 testimony, but since we have that 21 representation we don't need to do that. 22 It may be that we will have some time, 23 even this morning at the end of the morning, 24 that, where it doesn't make sense to bring on a 25 new witness to discuss that.

So, that's a long way of saying that we 1 2 don't have anything for the Court. THE COURT: Very well. Let's bring the jury. 5 THE CLERK: And do you need to move these 6 things so your witness can get in? MR. PHILLIPS: Now that the Judge is here, 7 8 I will move that. 9 THE COURT: You certainly may. 10 MR. TAUMAN: There were two defense 11 exhibits, demonstrative exhibits, that were marked yesterday, and we would request that 12 they be accessible to us. Apparently, they 13 14 have been a locked away in a cabinet. And all 15 we care about is that they be accessible to us. THE COURT: Are you talking about the two 16 17 Accords? MR. TAUMAN: Well, actually, two box tops 18 19 with some tobacco products and the Accord. MR. PHILLIPS: They are available in the 20 21 courtroom any time you would like. 22 THE COURT: All right. We will make them 23 available for you, counsel. 24 MR. TAUMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: All right.

1 2 (Whereupon, the proceedings continued, in the presence of the jury, as follows:) 4 5 THE COURT: Good morning, members of the 6 jury. The only information I have to share 7 with you this morning is, in case you don't 8 know it, today is the first day of March. Now 9 that I have shared that good information with 10 you, we will proceed. 11 Counsel, you may call your next witness. 12 MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. The defendant calls Mr. Harold Burnley to 13 14 the stand. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Burnley, will you please 16 come and take the stand? 17 THE CLERK: And please remain standing, and raise your right hand. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

```
1
                      HAROLD BURNLEY
2
              was thereupon called as a witness on
   behalf of the defendant and, having been first duly
    sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
5
6
              THE WITNESS: I do.
7
              THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.
8
              And for the record, will you please state
9
        your full name?
10
              THE WITNESS: My name is Harold George
11
         Burnley, Junior.
12
             THE CLERK: Spell your last name, please.
              THE WITNESS: B-u-r-n-l-e-y.
13
14
              THE CLERK: Thank you.
15
16
                     DIRECT EXAMINATION
17
   BY MR. PHILLIPS:
18
19
            Good morning, Mr. Burnley.
         Q
20
         Α
             Good morning.
21
         Q
             Could you tell us where you live,
22
   Mr. Burnley?
        A Yes. I live in [DELETED].
23
24
        Q And have you lived there all of your life?
        A No. I'm actually from southwest Virginia
```

Harold Burnley Direct a town called Roanoke, but I lived in [DELETED] 1 for quite a few years. And where do you work? Q I work for Philip Morris USA. 5 I'm going to ask you at the beginning of Q 6 your exam, because you have a low tenor to you voir, to pull that microphone closer to you. If you can 7 8 lean in a little bit, the jury would appreciate 9 that, I know. 10 How's that? A 11 That's a lot better. Thank you. Q Okay. 12 Α 13 Q Could you just take a moment and review 14 with the jury what your educational background is, 15 Mr. Burnley? 16 A Sure. I'm a chemical engineer. I went to 17 and graduated from Virginia Tech. I'm also a licensed professional engineer. I did a bit of 18 graduate work in chemical engineering also at 19 Stevens Institute of Technology and then later again 20 21 at Virginia Tech. 22 And when did you graduate then from your Q 23 schooling? 24 A In 1967. 25 Q Okay. Where did you go to work after

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A My first job was with Dupont, with E.I. Dupont, in a place where they made photographic film. It was their photo products division. It was located in Parlin, New Jersey.
- 5 6 What kind of things did you do for Dupont? 7 Α I was a chemical engineer. Sometimes I'll 8 refer to it as being a process engineer. But my job 9 there was to try to understand the manufacturing process and work on projects that improve the 10 efficiency and improve the effectiveness of their 11 12 process, as well as working on some product 13 improvements.
 - Q Okay. How long did you stay with Dupont?
- 15 A Two years.
 - Q What did you do next?
 - A Well, I got home sick and went to work for what was then Allied Chemical. Now I think it is a division of Honeywell. At a plant near Richmond that makes yarn, that makes nylon yarn. They make tire cord and carpet yarn and seat belt yarn and some other specialty products.
- 23 And I did sort of similar things with 24 Allied. Again, I was a chemical engineer, a process 25 engineer. And I worked on improvements to their

Harold Burnley Direct 10 1 manufacturing process, as well as some product improvements. In fact, I guess my sort of final job there was as an engineering supervisor. And I had 5 responsibility for changing the way that nylon was actually spun and wound to make it more cost 7 effective and increase the strength of the yarn. 8 So, I stayed there for approximately 9 four years, maybe a little more than four years. 10 Q And after that, is that started working with Philip Morris? 11 12 A It is, yes, sir. Okay. And, again, just sort of for 13 14 timeframe, you started working for Philip Morris 15 then in what year? A 1973. The Fall of 1973. 16 17 0 And you are currently employed by Philip Morris? 18 19 Α I am. 20 Q So you have been there, what, 28 years? 21 Correct. Α 22 Q Okay. Now, take a moment, if you would, 23 and just give the jury an overview of your working 24 career at Philip Morris, if you would. Okay. It might take a little while.

Harold Burnley Direct When I was at Allied Chemical, which 1 is in the same community as Philip Morris, I learned through a mutual friend that Philip Morris was interested in doing some work with digital computers 5 to control their manufacturing processes to make them more efficient, to make the product more 7 consistent. 8 And I had always had a lot of 9 interest in industrial control, especially with 10 digital computers. In fact, it was a pretty new area. 11 12 There were only a couple of successful commercial 13 operations. One was at an oil refinery, and one was 14 at a large paper mill in South Carolina. 15 So, I accepted a job at Philip Morris. And for the first six months or so I just 16 17 spent that time studying how cigarettes were made, 18 and I tried to understand what was important to them 19 from a product consistency point of view, because the company wanted all of their products, all of 20 21 their cigarettes to be a same, so cigarette to 22 cigarette within the same pack, pack to pack, carton 23 to carton, and so forth. 24 So I spent time studying the process 25 and then tried to figure out how to connect these

Harold Burnley Direct 12 1 digital computers, which weren't as friendly as they are today, to the manufacturing process. That is, how does this computer understand what's going on? And, once it does, what do you do with the information? How do you tell the process how to 5 change in order to make it more of a more consistent 7 product? 8 So, I worked on that for a couple of 9 years. We were pretty successful. 10 Actually, as you probably know, Philip Morris is owned by a holding company called 11 Philip Morris Companies. And Philip Morris 12 13 Companies owned several others, and still does, 14 several other tobacco companies in different parts 15 of the world. So, I was also asked to help some of 16 17 the other tobacco companies, owned by the parent 18 company, to do similar things. So, I had a chance to travel around the world and do this work in other 19 20 places. 21 As time went on, and I'm getting now 22 to about 1975, 1976, the company's business began to 23 expand, and there was a need for an engineering 24 department to, not only work on process 25 improvements, but also to build new infrastructure,

Harold Burnley Direct 13 1 new factories, install new machinery, and that sort of thing. So, over the next several of years I actually had the opportunity to build what is called 5 the Process Engineering Department at Philip Morris. I was involved. I had a number of 7 jobs. I was, golly, I was Manager of Process 8 Engineering; I think Chief Process Engineer; and, finally, Director of Process and Project 9 10 Engineering. 11 And I really had the job of building 12 new infrastructure, building new factories, and 13 working very closely with the R & D organization, who was developing the new products and developing 14 15 the new techniques that were necessary to make our 16 products. 17 So, I was, in a sense, taking and 18 trying to translate what the R & D folks had done 19 into commercial reality, into real products, into 20 real manufacturing processes. 21 Can I interrupt you there for a moment, 22 sir? 23 The jury has already heard the 24 testimony about the Research & Development department at Philip Morris and the scientists who

1 worked there.

Am I correct in understanding that you are, at least at this stage of the career you are talking about, a different part of the organization, you were an engineer; is that right?

- A Yes, that's correct.
- Q And does the engineer and the process engineer and the department that you helped create, is that different than the work that the R & D department is doing?
- A It's different. R & D's job was to try to come up with basic discovery, either to create some sort of new product or to modify the product in a way to reduce the risks associated with smoking. And my job was to take their discovery and convert it into real products that people buy and real facilities that are used to make those products.
- Q Okay. Now, I interrupted you, and you were telling the jury about your career. And why don't you just sort of pick up where you were. I think you were talking the creation of the Process Engineering Department?
- 23 A That's correct.
- 24 And I did that until, I guess,
- $25\,$ $\,$ roughly 1989. And then I was asked to actually join

Harold Burnley Direct 1 the R & D department, I suppose because I had a lot of familiarity with what they were doing and I knew the manufacturing process pretty well. But I spent then ten years in R & D, 5 with a little bit of a role change. I was designing and implementing what others had developed. It was 7 now my job to do the department. So, I spent the 8 next ten years as Director of Process Development 9 and later as Vice President of Process Development 10 in R & D. And then, I can't remember the date 11 12 exactly, but sometime in 1999 I think I was asked to 13 become Vice President of Operations Planning, which, 14 in a sense, moves farther up the food chain. 15 Instead of developing new products 16 and new processes, my job was to help the company 17 figure out what it ought to do in order to help us 18 meet on our objectives. 19 That job also has a couple of other accountabilities. In addition to the more or less 20 strategic planning and helping the company try to 21 22 figure out what it ought to be doing, I'm 23 responsible for actual production planning and 24 scheduling, which means making sure we have got the right kind of capacity in our facilities to meet the

Harold Burnley Direct 16 consumer demand and schedule those factories as 1 2 And I'm also acting as the -- I guess I would call it the executive sponsor for our 5 commercial conventional sort of lit-end reduced-risk products that we are working pretty hard to 7 commercialize. 8 And is that the SCoR program, S-C-O-R, 9 that the jury has already heard something about? 10 It is, yes, sir. 11 Okay. I have got some questions for you about that in a little bit. 12 But let me just ask, based on your 28 13 14 years of experience working for Philip Morris, do 15 you consider yourself an expert in the manufacture 16 of cigarettes at Philip Morris? 17 A Yes, sir, I do. I have designed and built 18 the lion share of the facilities there. 19 Mr. Burnley, I would like to start by 20 asking you some questions about tobacco leaves. Can 21 I do that? 22 Α Sure. 23 The jury has heard about three types of 24 leaf, a burley, a bright and an Oriental leaf. Have you brought some examples of those leaves to talk to

```
Harold Burnley Direct 17
    the jury about?
1
2
        A
             Yes, sir, I have.
         Q Okay. Why don't you pick one? And, if
   you can, show it to the jury.
 5
              MR. PHILLIPS: I don't know if we can have
         the witness stand next to this platform, Your
7
         Honor, that Mr -- Dr. Whidby used. But if
         that's all right, I think it might be easier
8
9
         for the jury.
10
              THE COURT: That's fine.
11
              THE WITNESS: It would be easier.
              THE COURT: Take the exhibit down with you
12
13
         and show it to the jury.
14
              THE WITNESS: All right.
15
              I have three types of tobacco. And I
16
         really will try not to make a mess.
17
             MR. PHILLIPS: Okay. Mr. Burnley, I have
        got to remind you because you are going to be
18
         talking to the jury, and the court reporter is
19
         behind you, so if you can angle it while
20
21
         talking to the jury, I'm sure she would
22
        appreciate that.
23
              THE WITNESS: Okay. Is this okay?
24
              COURT REPORTER: Yes.
25
```

Harold Burnley Direct THE WITNESS: Philip Morris makes what we 1 2 call American blended cigarettes, as opposed to the English style that some of you may be familiar with that are very popular in other 5 parts of the world. 6 And we use three types of tobacco. And I have brought some samples with me, and I would 8 like to show you what they look like. 9 The first is called flue-cured. It goes 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

The first is called flue-cured. It goes by other names. You may have heard it called Virginia tobacco or you may have heard it called bright tobacco.

But this is leaf that is grown in southern Virginia. It's grown in a large portion of North Carolina, eastern North Carolina and central North Carolina.

Some of it is grown in Georgia. Actually, a fair amount is grown in Georgia. Some in South Carolina and a little bit in Florida.

This is what it looks like. I'll just take one leaf out of here so I won't make too much of a mess.

This tobacco has been cured. It has a characteristic bright color, which is why it's called bright tobacco.

Harold Burnley Direct This tobacco is fairly high in moisture so 1 2 it's nice and pliable, and it has certain characteristics that our leave blenders like. It is relatively high in sugars. It has a very 5 mellow taste. It is has a very pleasant aroma, 6 and it has a number of other characteristics. 7 (Reporter changed stenograph disks.) 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 You may proceed, please. 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. 11 I just wanted to tell a little bit about 12 how this leaf is cured because it is cured 13 differently than other types of tobacco. 14 The farmer actually harvests this leaf by 15 stalk position. It ripens from the bottom of the plant up. So, it's harvested from the 16 17 bottom of the plant up. And the different 18 stalk positions are graded differently and they 19 carry different U.S. Government grades. 20 But the curing process is done by 21 harvesting the leaves, tying them together, 22 sometimes in bands that I showed you in this 23 bag. 24 But they are cured with heat over a couple 25 periods of time. The farmer actually has

Harold Burnley Direct 20 heated barns that are used to remove the moisture and dry the tobacco so it's cured and can be stored.

So that's a little bit about flue-cured tobacco.

The next type I would like to talk about is burley. And this is a burley leaf.

Burley, as you can see, tends to be a little bit darker in color, and that's related to the way it's cured, which I'll tell you about in a second.

But burley tobacco is grown in extreme western Virginia. Most of it is grown in Kentucky, a little bit in Indiana, some in Tennessee, and perhaps in a couple of other bordering states.

The burley leaf tends to be, this is not a good example, tends to be just a little bit larger than the flue-cured leaf. They are grown on very, very small farms. It's not unusual for a burley farmer to grow less than an acre. So, there are lot of burley farmers out there. There are 40 or more thousands burley farmers.

Flue-cure, on the other hand, is grown on

Harold Burnley Direct 21 larger farms. Burley is cured differently and harvested differently. The whole plant is harvested at one time. The whole stalk is cut, hung in a barn and allowed to air cure over a period of several months. So, it is cured just with natural ventilation and by Mother Nature. There is no heat applied. So, that's a little bit about burley.

The final type of tobacco that we use and others who make American-blended cigarettes use is called Turkish. It's sometimes called Oriental tobacco. Very small leaves. Very labor-intensive to harvest them.

This tobacco is grown in the Mediterranean basin. A lot of it around Turkey. Some of it in other neighboring countries. Some of it is even -- a little bit is actually grown in Italy, but Greece and Turkey are the main suppliers.

It's a very, very aromatic tobacco. It has a very distinctive odor. And what our blender's job then is to take all of these tobaccos that have different attributes -- this one tends to be sweet and mellow, this one

Harold Burnley Direct because of the way it's aged has very 1 2 distinctive characteristics, but all of its natural sugars are reacted and form other things during the curing process, so there are 5 virtually no sugars in it when it's -- after 6 it's cured. 7 So, one of the things that we do when we 8 process this tobacco is add back some of those 9 sugars in something called the burley casein, 10 which you may have heard about. 11 So that adds some smoothness and 12 mellowness back in the tobacco, and the 13 Oriental adds a very distinctive flavor and 14 aroma. 15 So, very briefly, those are the tobaccos 16 we use. 17 That's great. Thank you. Now, the jury has, I think, already 18 19 heard some testimony about some changes in the way that the bright tobacco, the lighter-colored 20 tobacco, is being cured. 21 22 Could you just explain briefly what 23 Philip Morris has been doing to help farmers change 24 the way they cure that tobacco? 25 Sure. I would be glad to. A

23 Harold Burnley Direct As you have probably heard, there are 1 2 substances in, actually, in both flue-cured and burley tobacco that are called tobacco-specific nitrosamines or TSNA's. These are substances that 5 we, in the public health community, believe are 6 health hazards. R.J. Reynolds, actually --8 MR. WOBBROCK: Your Honor, excuse me, Mr. 9 Burnley, I believe I have a matter for the 10 Court. 11 THE COURT: All right. Members of the jury, just step out 12 13 briefly, please. 14 15 (Whereupon, the proceedings continued, out of the presence of the jury, as follows:) 16 * * * 17 THE COURT: Before we get do that, I think 18 19 what we'll do is I think we'll move the exhibit from the jury. It has a very distinctive 20 21 smell. 22 MR. PHILLIPS: Yeah. 23 THE COURT: And some of the jurors may not 24 be used to smelling that smell. It might be better if we move it.

	Harold Burnley Direct 24
1	MR. PHILLIPS: Do you want me to put it
2	back in the bag?
3	THE COURT: Yes. That would probably be
4	fine, in the appropriate bag.
5	THE WITNESS: Or should I just maybe put
6	it down here?
7	MR. PHILLIPS: Yeah. Sure.
8	THE COURT: That would be fine.
9	All right. Counsel, you have a matter for
10	the Court.
11	MR. WOBBROCK: Yes. Thank you, Your
12	Honor.
13	Mr. Burnley is now beginning to repeat
14	exactly what we heard yesterday about the
15	curing process from Dr. Whidby.
16	I anticipate that he will also testify
17	about the NOD, the natural occurring
18	denitrification, and he may even go into the
19	Next project.
20	We know that from the witness list they
21	have got one or two other witnesses that are
22	going to talk about the same thing.
23	At some point, and I think we have reached
24	that point, it starts to be cumulative. They
25	start to say, with different witnesses, the

Harold Burnley Direct same thing over and over again. That is not 1 2 appropriate. We have already heard, in great detail, for an entire day and a half, from Dr. Whidby, 5 about this same subject that he is now 6 beginning to testify about. 7 And I think we should realize that we are 8 taking this jury's time with piling on the 9 evidence. One witness after another saying the 10 same thing is not appropriate and is not 11 proper. We object to this testimony, Your 12 Honor. THE COURT: Counsel for the defense, your 13 14 response. 15 MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, we are in fact 16 trying to be quite sensitive to fact that Dr. 17 Whidby touched upon this. 18 There are some specific aspects of this 19 issue that I want this witness to testify to. And I'm trying to not have him repeat. 20 21 In fact, we would have been off this 22 subject had there not been the interruption. 23 And I have also gone through his exam and 24 tried to be sensitive to what Dr. Whidby has done on the NOD issue.

Harold Burnley Direct 26

He has some specific testimony from an engineering perspective that is important. In a context in which there is a claim of fraud, it is important to have all of the pieces.

They had Dr. Uydess and Dr. Farone talk about this subject, and there was no complaint about cumulativeness there.

So, we are going to finish our case faster than they put their case on, Your Honor. We are going to be quicker. We are going to be more efficient. And there may be some slight overlapping, but nothing like we heard from their witnesses. So I think this is really untoward.

THE COURT: All right.

I think counsel has brought it to our attention, but I think I agree. He's trying to deal with this witness on some issues from an engineering aspect of it. Dr. Whidby was not an engineer.

So, although, and surprisingly, Dr. Whidby talked about a lot of things that he probably had no expertise on, but that's neither here nor there. Nobody objected. So, he was a lot of information.

Harold Burnley Direct So, they are just trying to get to the 1 2 engineering perspective. And I think counsel is aware of that and will not just try to cover the same things that Dr. Whidby covered. 5 So we will try to be sensitive to that, 6 counsel. If you think we are going too far afield, certainly feel free to bring it to the 8 Court's attention again. 9 MR. WOBBROCK: Counsel, if I could just 10 say for the record, counsel mentioned something about the NOD process related to the fraud 11 12 count. And maybe I'm just not quick enough. If I sit down and look at the complaint, maybe 13 14 I can figure that out, but that escapes me. 15 don't know what that's got to do with fraud. MR. PHILLIPS: I'll educate you about your 16 17 complaint at a later time, counsel. MR. WOBBROCK: Thank you, Judge. 18 19 THE COURT: All right. 20 Bring in the jury. And let's proceed 21 again. 22 We are planning on taking our morning 23 break at 10:30. I say that to remind myself so 24 that we can change court reporters. 25 * * *

Harold Burnley Direct 1 (Whereupon, the proceedings continued, 2 in the presence of the jury, as follows:) * * * THE COURT: All right. 5 Counsel, you may proceed with your 6 questions, please. 7 MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you. BY MR. PHILLIPS: 8 Q Mr. Burnley, I was asking you about, from 9 10 the perspective of an engineer, what Philip Morris has done to help farmers who grow this bright 11 12 tobacco and harvest it in their barns with heat, as 13 you have just described. Could you proceed with 14 your testimony, please? 15 Α Yes. R.J. Reynolds, a few years ago, 16 discovered that one of the practices that the 17 farmers were using to cure tobacco were to actually 18 provide heat to their curing barns used open propane 19 burners. Open heat. 20 And there was obviously some 21 incomplete combustion with the propane burner and 22 some nitrogen oxides were formed. Those nitrogen 23 oxides reacted with substances in the tobacco leaf 24 that we called secondary alkaloids. They are compounds similar to nicotine but not nicotine. And

Harold Burnley Direct they formed tobacco-specific nitrosamines. 1 2 That practice came into being during the energy crisis when farmers were looking for more efficient ways to heat their barns. 5 But, after that discovery, Philip 6 Morris and other members of the industry funded a 7 conversion of the barns back to flue-curing where 8 heat exchangers were used, which are very much like 9 a house furnace. It is indirect heat. And Philip Morris funded that at I 10 think about \$35 million. 11 12 Q Has that program been successful? 13 Α It has. We have reduced the TSNA content 14 in flue-cured tobacco by more than 90 percent, 15 95 percent in those cases. Q Mr. Burnley, before we leave these three 16 17 leaves, I want to ask you a question about -- I 18 would like you assume that there's been testimony in this case that some leaves are more toxic than other 19 20 leaves for purposes of making cigarettes. 21 In your 28 years as an engineer, and 22 as a process engineer at Philip Morris, and also 23 working in the Research & Development department, 24 have you been aware of any recommendations by public health authorities to use one leaf over another

14

15

16

17

18

substance.

And you can actually do things that, in fact, will allow you to reduce the amount of that substance, only to learn later that what you have done has had unintending consequences of increasing the concentration of something else.

19 20 So, while the chemistry of these 21 leaves differ, you really have to look at it 22 holistically. You have to look at how these 23 substances work together. You have to look at the 24 overall weight of the evidence, the overall weight of the chemistry and the biological testing that's

1 done. 2 You can draw some wrong conclusions. And I will tell you I have drawn some wrong conclusions by targeting some specific compound and 5 not understanding what removal of that does or substitution of one tobacco for another does on 7 something else. 8 I would like to take a moment, if I can, Q 9 and, again from your perspective as an engineer, 10 could you just describe the process that Philip Morris goes through when it wants to make a new 11 12 product, a new cigarette that it wants to put on the 13 market? 14 Α Sure. I'll try to. It might take me a 15 couple of minutes. 16 Generally, what happens is the 17 marketing department determines what the needs are. 18 And R & D will get what we call a brief from 19 marketing. And we won't have a lot of information on it, but it will have information that contains 20

generally these things.

As you have probably heard previous testimony, that the U.S. market tends to be segmented in tar categories as measured by the FTC method.

Harold Burnley Direct 1 Those tar categories are really just 2 different flavor perceptions or strength perceptions of the cigarette. Different people enjoy different strength cigarettes. 5 So, one of the things that marketing 6 will do is say, hey, create a cigarette for me that 7 is a full-flavor cigarette that delivers -- I'm just 8 making this up for purposes of illustration now --9 that delivers 15 milligrams of tar and it has this 10 taste profile. It tastes like -- or it's somewhere between Marlboro and, I don't know, Camel. I'm just 11 12 making this up. 13 And it's usually not a lot more, at that point, it is not a lot more detailed than that. 14 15 So, the first step then is for our 16 leaf blenders, who are really very, very skilled in 17 how these leaves taste and how they work with one 18 another --19 You know, it's not a lot different 20 than blending wine or making wine at this point. These people are real experts on how 21 22 these tobaccos work together. They are experts on 23 the burley, Oriental, flue-cured, the different 24 grades of those tobaccos, and also on our 25 reconstituted tobaccos.

Harold Burnley Direct So, they'll put together a blend. 1 2 And they will hand-make cigarettes. They'll cut the tobacco by hand, roll it in paper and smoke it to see if they believe that that product is 5 subjectively similar to what they believe marketing has asked for. That's the first step. They pay some attention at this point 8 to tar delivery because they, if it's a low-tar 9 cigarette, for example, they are going to want to 10 avoid some types of tobaccos that generally deliver a lot of tar for a specific weight. 11 12 For example, Oriental is very 13 flavorful but, if you use a lot of it, you will have 14 a high-tar cigarette because it just generates a 15 disproportionate amount of tar for the volume that 16 occupy the cigarette. 17 So, anyway, to make a long story 18 short, they'll get close. They'll smoke these 19 cigarettes and be fairly comfortable that it meets 20 the taste profile. 21 The next thing that happens is the 22 cigarette designers then work with that blend. And 23 their job is to be more precisely control the tar 24 delivery for that product. 25 So, they'll take the blend and

Harold Burnley Direct they'll select the cigarette paper, filter material, 1 type of degree of filtration that the cigarette needs to have and the amount of ventilation. And then they'll machine make 5 cigarettes so that they meet the -- now the tar 6 specification. 7 And then you get some interaction 8 back with the leaf blenders because now you have machine-made cigarettes that have the right 9 10 resistance to draw, that have the right tar delivery, and they'll smoke them again, and they may 11 12 make some changes. 13 So, this is an interactive procedure 14 between leaf blenders and cigarette designers. 15 When they are happy, at that point --16 and you have to remember that most all of the flavor 17 in a cigarette comes from the tobacco. Flavors we 18 apply, we call them signatures. They give a little 19 bit more balance. They give some distinctiveness to the cigarette. But you can't take a bad blend and 20 21 make a good cigarette by adding flavor. 22 So, the final thing that the 23 flavorist does then is try to provide the right kind 24 of balance to the cigarette and create the final 25 product.

Harold Burnley Direct 1 And again you might get some 2 interaction between the three groups. But, at that point, the design process is complete, and we begin evaluating the cigarette by various, by various panels. They are 5 6 expert panels. We have one, actually, the next step 8 is one where a panel of experts that we call a 9 qualitative descriptive panel. It's a group of experts, maybe six or seven, that smoke a cigarette 10 and answer very specific questions about it. And 11 12 those questions are about specific taste 13 characteristics. Things like, I don't know, 14 sweetness, aftertaste and so on and so forth. 15 it will create what we call a sensory profile or a 16 taste profile for that product. 17 If they believe it's a balanced 18 product and it tends to meet with what marketing's 19 expectations are, we actually do consumer testing. 20 And we ask the consumers to smoke the cigarette. 21 And usually, not always, but usually 22 we ask three questions. One is, on a scale of one 23 to seven, how strong is this cigarette? On a scale 24 of one to seven, how much do you like it? And on a scale of one to seven, how close is it to the

Harold Burnley Direct 36

product that you are smoking?

So then we take the weight of all of the qualitative descriptive expert panels and the what we call consumer panels, or POL panels, if you have heard that term, take all of that stuff back to marketing, and the make a final decision on whether or not to market the product. Then they work on packaging and that sort of thing.

Generally speaking, that's how it is

Q Now, I want you to assume that there's been testimony in this case that Philip Morris actually designs its cigarettes to meet specific nicotine levels. How do you respond to that, sir?

A Well, as I said a second ago, our market is segmented by strength points or tar points. We design for a certain FTC tar delivery. That's not to say we can't predict what the nicotine content is because, you know, for all of the cigarettes really in the U.S. marketplace, if you know the tar level you can pretty accurately predict the nicotine level. But we design for tar because that's what correlates most closely to strength and the likely perception of the product by the consumer.

Q Now, have you prepared a chart that you

Harold Burnley Direct would like to show the jury which shows the relationship between tar and nicotine in the United States market? Α Yes, I have. 5 Okay. This will be marked Exhibit 1494, 0 Defense Exhibit 1494. 6 MR. PHILLIPS: I don't know if we can make 8 that any larger, Mr. Walsh. 9 THE WITNESS: Well, could I stand up? 10 MR. PHILLIPS: If that's all right with 11 Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: You may. THE WITNESS: And I'll try to speak up. 13 These points on this chart represent tar 14 15 and nicotine values as reported by the FTC. 16 They are done in a laboratory called a 17 TITL laboratory, Tobacco Institute Testing 18 Laboratory. 19 So, these would represent tar and nicotine 20 numbers as would be to support the advertising 21 on our packs or on our advertisements. 22 This scale on the bottom shows tar 23 delivery. And it goes from zero -- there 24 aren't any zero tar delivery products -- on up, to some fairly high-delivery products.

Harold Burnley Direct 38 And these are the nicotine deliveries 1 2 associated with each one of the tar deliveries. So, what you would do is, if you wanted to position one of the products on this chart, you 5 would go along this access, find what its tar 6 is, find what its nicotine level is, and plot 7 that point. 8 And this chart simply shows the 9 relationship between tar and nicotine of really 10 all of the products that were sold in the United States. 11 12 This data is a little bit old. It is 1993 13 data. 14 The red dots indicate Philip Morris 15 products, and the blue triangles are computers products. 16 17 BY MR. PHILLIPS: 18 Q Could you sort of point out, at least 19 roughly, where on the chart Merit cigarettes would fall? They are the cigarette that Michelle Schwarz 20 21 smoked? A 22 Yes. Actually, I looked at this data set. 23 And the Merit delivered, I think, 7.7 milligrams of 24 tar and .62 milligrams of nicotine. So, I believe 25 it is this point right here.

Harold Burnley Direct 39 1 Q Thank you. 2 You can sit down, if you would like, sir. Thank you. Mr. Burnley, I also want you to 5 assume that the jury has heard testimony in this case that cigarettes, no matter what the tar and nicotine delivery, as reported by the FTC, have the 7 8 same amount of tobacco and the same amount of 9 nicotine in them in the rod. 10 Do you have a response to --11 MR. WOBBROCK: Your Honor, I don't believe 12 that's been the testimony. I think if counsel 13 wants to characterize testimony, that's 14 improper. That has not been the testimony. 15 It's for the jury to recall what the testimony 16 is. 17 MR. PHILLIPS: I framed it terms of a hypothetical. I think it's a perfectly 18 19 permissible question, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. 20 21 Let's proceed, please. BY MR. PHILLIPS: 22 23 Q I want you to assume that there's been 24 testimony that each cigarette, no matter which one there is, on this chart, has the same amount of

Harold Burnley Direct 40 nicotine, the actual amount of nicotine in the rod 1 that's available to be consume. Is that right, sir? A No, sir, that's not correct. Q Okay. And have you prepared a chart that 5 you would like to show the jury that sort of explains that? 7 A I have, yes. Q Okay. Is this that chart, sir? 8 9 It is. And, again, it would be helpful if A 10 I could stand. THE COURT: Feel free to move and step to 11 12 the board when you need. MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 14 This one is going to be marked Defense 15 Exhibit 1495. 16 BY MR. PHILLIPS: 17 Q Perhaps, if you could, just take a moment 18 and explain what we are looking at here. A Yes. I just wanted to show three 19 20 different products because they represent three tar 21 deliveries or three tar points. 22 Marlboro. Marlboro is a 15-milligram 23 tar product or this particular Marlboro is. 24 Merit, which is an 8-milligram tar 25 product.

Harold Burnley Direct 41 1 And Merit Ultima, which is a 1 2 milligram tar product. And the actual amount of tobacco, the weight of tobacco in these cigarettes are shown on 5 the far right. Actually, it is the total weight of tobacco, plus the moisture, or plus the water. 7 There's actually less tobacco than that. 8 But Marlboro has 740 milligrams, 9 about three quarters of a gram of tobacco. 10 Merit, being a lower-tar product, has 11 680 milligrams of tar, on average. 12 And Merit Ultima has just under 500, 13 490 milligrams of tar. 14 Q You're saying tar. Do you mean tobacco? 15 Α Tobacco. Excuse me. That's all right. 16 17 The nicotine, the percentage of nicotine 18 in the tobacco for Marlboro, for this test -- and I have got to tell you it varies a little bit. This 19 20 is an agricultural product, and there's some 21 variation in it. 22 But for this particular set of data 23 the alkaloid or the nicotine concentration 24 percentage in the tobacco for Marlboro I think was 2.04 percent. 25

Harold Burnley Direct 42 1 For Merit it was essentially the 2 same. It was like 1.98 percent. And for Merit Ultima it was a little 4 higher. I think it was 2.4 percent. 5 So, to get actual quantity of 6 nicotine, you have to multiply the percentage of 7 nicotine in the tobacco by the total weight to get 8 the milligrams of nicotine. And I'm not sure I can remember the 9 10 numbers, but this should be like around 14. 14 and a half milligrams of nicotine. 11 12 This would be a little less, around 13 13 and a half. 14 And this would be less, around I 15 think I calculated 12.3 or something like that. 16 So, there is less tobacco, and there 17 is less actual nicotine in the tobacco. 18 Q And with respect to the Merit cigarettes, 19 which Mrs. Schwarz smoked, is the total amount of nicotine in the rod that's available to be consumed 20 less than the Marlboro cigarette? 21 22 A Yes, sir, it is. 23 And would that be true also of a 24 comparable Benson & Hedges brand? 25 A Yes.

Harold Burnley Direct 1 Okay. And is the percentage of nicotine, as a percentage of the actual tobacco in the cigarette, in Merit, the same, less or more than what you would find in Benson & Hedges or Marlboro? 5 It is approximately the same. A 6 And in this particular example it was a Q 7 little lower? 8 A It was a little lower. 9 Okay. Now, Mr. Burnley, the jury has seen 10 a videotape in this case of a manufacturing plant, the Philip Morris manufacturing plant. Have you 11 looked at that videotape for purposes of your 12 13 testimony today? 14 A Yes, I did. 15 Okay. Dr. Farone actually testified about Q 16 that to the jury. Do you know Dr. Farone? 17 I do. 18 0 Did you work with him while he was at 19 Philip Morris? A Yes, I did. 20 21 Okay. Now, the videotape showed a lot of Q 22 different pipes and different names on different 23 pipes. I would like to go through those with you, 24 briefly, if I can, just have you explain to the jury what those pipes mean.

Harold Burnley Direct 44 And I believe this was, you tell me 1 if I'm right, when you looked at the videotape, was it apparent to you that it was a RL, or reconstituted leaf manufacturing facility? There is actually footage in that videotape from our RL plant and our BL plant, both of those are reconstituted tobacco facilities, but 7 they are different facilities and they make a 8 different product. 9 10 Okay. Let's start with glycerin. There was a pipe that showed glycerin. Can you tell us 11 12 what that is or what it does? A Yeah, sure. Glycerin is a humectant. 13 14 It's a substance that's used to help tobacco retain 15 its moisture, for two reasons. 16 One is, and I have got another little 17 example that I would like to show you, but tobacco 18 is a very friable material. If you try to process 19 it, and it is not moist and it does not contain humectants, it will destroy it. You won't be able 20 21 to make cigarettes out of it. I have an example. 22 23 There's a garbage can right over there, if 24 you want to use that. This is a piece of burley tobacco. It is

Harold Burnley Direct 45 identical to what I showed you earlier. I just 1 pulled it out of the plastic bag last night just to show you how fast it dries out. And if you were to try to remove the 5 stem from this tobacco or cut it or flavor it or 6 blend it, you would just end up with dust because it 7 is very, very, very friable and very fragile. 8 So, humectants are added to help 9 prevent the loss of moisture so that you can process 10 this tobacco. And it also adds a freshness sensation to the cigarette because, if you happen to 11 12 be a smoker or if you ever smoked a cigarette, and they become dried out, left out in the sun or 13 14 something like that, they become extremely harsh, 15 unpleasant. And that's why we add humectants. 16 Q What about something called propylene 17 glycol? That was another substance we saw. Can you 18 tell us about that? 19 It is used for exactly the same purpose. Α 20 It is another humectant. 21 Q The jury may have heard something about 22 antifreeze. Now, is propylene glycol used in 23 antifreeze, sir? 24 A I believe ethylene glycol is used in 25 antifreeze, not propylene glycol.

Harold Burnley Direct 46 1 You have already talked a little bit about flavors, but there were things called flavors, and Isosweet are various types of flavors that were shown on the videotape. What about those? 5 That's correct. There are flavors used to 6 help the balance of the product, to make it smoke 7 more, smoke more pleasant. 8 Okay. And there was another substance we 9 saw called propyl parabens? Tell us about that. 10 A Yeah. Propyl paraben is a biocide. 11 Could you stop and spell that for the 12 court reporter, biocide? B-i-o-c-i-d-e, I think. 13 Α 14 0 Okay. 15 It is -- they are substances used to Α 16 prevent spoilage. You know, if you look at food 17 ingredients and that sort of thing, you'll see 18 propyl paraben and other preservatives that are 19 added. It is simply there. There's sugar in our product. We try to pack it at a moisture that makes 20 21 it enjoyable to smoke. So, they are there to 22 prevent mold growth and that sort of thing. 23 Q Now, we also saw a couple of pipes 24 relating to urea. And I think there was also a reference to DAP, or diammonium phosphate.

Harold Burnley Direct 47 Why does Philip Morris use those 1 2 chemicals? Well, urea, urea was used in our RL process as a flavorant and diammonium phosphate, as well. Diammonium phosphate is also used to make BL. It is one of the things that are necessary that 7 enable us to make that product to hold the tobacco 8 together so we can reconstitute tobacco with a BL 9 process. 10 And I want you to assume that there has been testimony in this case that Philip Morris uses 11 12 ammonia and urea to make its reconstituted tobacco 13 more addictive. 14 Do you have any response to that 15 position articulated in this case? 16 A Yeah. I can tell you absolutely that's 17 not why Philip Morris uses --MR. WOBBROCK: Excuse me, Your Honor. I'm 18 19 going to object to --20 THE WITNESS: -- diammonium phosphate. 21 THE COURT: Go ahead, with your 22 objections, counsel. 23 MR. WOBBROCK: This witness is not 24 competent to testify about these subjects, Your Honor. This is way beyond the scope of his

Harold Burnley Direct 48 1 expertise as an expert engineer. 2 MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, if I may be heard briefly. MR. WOBBROCK: Well, if we are going to do 5 that, let's do it outside the presence of the 6 7 MR. PHILLIPS: If you get to talk in front 8 of the jury, I do, too. 9 THE COURT: Well, let's let the jury go 10 out, and you guys can talk to me. All right. Members of the jury, you may 11 12 go into the jury room. * * * 13 (Whereupon, the proceedings continued, 14 15 out of the presence of the jury, as follows:) * * * 16 17 MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I mean, I quess 18 counsel can try to interrupt as much as he 19 wants, rather than saving whatever he wants for cross-examination, but, in fact, ammonia --20 21 this witness is going to testify that ammonia 22 was used for purposes of an engineering need 23 with respect to its reconstituted tobacco. 24 He is, in fact, the one who is most 25 conversant with why it was used in the

Harold Burnley Direct engineering process. And he is the best 1 2 witness to testify to those issues. As to whether ammonia does or does not enhance addictiveness, which is another 5 position with respect to the plaintiff, he is 6 not going to testify on that subject. 7 What he is going to testify is why it was 8 used and for what purpose it was used and 9 whether he's ever heard whether it was used or 10 could be used for the purposes of and alleged in this complaint. 11 He's certainly entitled to do all of those 12 13 things. 14 MR. WOBBROCK: Well, he just asked him, 15 Your Honor, if he thought it was added to make the product more addictive. That's what I 16 17 heard. MR. PHILLIPS: Well, you didn't hear it 18 19 correctly because the question was: Have you ever heard, while you were working at Philip 20 21 Morris, that these substances were used to make 22 the product more addictive? 23 I'm beginning to think that counsel feels 24 it is more important to interrupt a direct examination than to save his points for 25

Harold Burnley Direct 50 cross-examination, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Can we go back --MR. PHILLIPS: I'll be happy to frame it that way. 5 THE COURT: I'm trying to get back to the 6 question that was asked. Since you have 7 realtime reporting, let's take a look at it. 8 MR. PHILLIPS: Let's see if I'm a bad 9 rememberer or a good rememberer. In any event, 10 I would be happy to frame it that way. THE COURT: It says, Mr. Phillips, well, 11 your question was: "Have you ever heard, while 12 you were at Philip Morris, that these 13 14 substances were used to make the product more 15 addictive?" MR. WOBBROCK: I think that's the question 16 17 just repeated, Your Honor. MR. PHILLIPS: That's precisely the 18 19 question I told you I asked. And it is 20 different than the one you told the Court I 21 asked. 22 MR. WOBBROCK: Let me just ask a question 23 in aid of objection so we don't have to go over 24 this again. 25 BY MR. WOBBROCK:

Harold Burnley Direct Mr. Burnley, the area of nicotine and its 1 effect upon the physiology of the body, that's way outside of your area of expertise, is it not, sir? It is. 5 MR. WOBBROCK: All right. MR. PHILLIPS: All right. 6 THE COURT: So, counsel, you are going to 7 8 rephrase -- reframe your question. 9 MR. PHILLIPS: I think the question is 10 appropriate, Your Honor. Have you ever heard, while you were 11 12 working at Philip Morris? 13 And the answer will be no, and we'll move 14 along. 15 I'm not going to ask him whether or not 16 he's a scientist who has examined the question 17 of whether ammonia does or does not make it more addictive. That's something others will 18 19 talk about, before and afterwards. 20 But this is the engineer, Your Honor, who 21 actually was aware of how it was used in the 22 process and why it was put into the process, 23 and that's what we need to get before the jury. 24 MR. WOBBROCK: What he has heard outside of his area of expertise is really pretty 25

Harold Burnley Direct irrelevant. I mean, he may have heard a lot of 1 2 things, he may have heard that the president of the company had a zillion dollars. So what? He's not in the finance department. He's not 5 in the medical department. And whether or not 6 he's heard any of this stuff I think is 7 irrelevant. 8 MR. PHILLIPS: Save it for 9 cross-examination, counsel. Come on. Let's 10 get --THE COURT: I think what we will do, 11 counsel, I think I will sustain his objection 12 to what he has heard, and we will allow his 13 14 testimony as to, as a chemical engineer, why 15 that product was put into the product. MR. PHILLIPS: Okay. 16 17 THE COURT: We get right to what he's dealing with, not the gossip that goes around 18 19 the plant. All right. Bring the jury, please. 20 21 I think the jury considered this to be a 22 regular break. 23 MR. PHILLIPS: It may be. 24 25 (Whereupon, the proceedings continued, in

```
Harold Burnley Direct 53
           the presence of the jury, as follows:)
1
 2
                          * * *
             THE COURT: And, members of the jury, we
         will be taking our regular break at 10:30.
 5
              All right. Counsel, please proceed.
              MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor.
 6
7
    BY MR. PHILLIPS:
8
        Q Mr. Burnley, could you tell the jury why
   Philip Morris uses ammonia in its reconstituted leaf
9
10
    manufacturing process?
             Sure. It will take a little time to do
11
12
   it.
13
             By the way, did you prepare a graphic to
14
   be able to explain that to the jury?
15
        A Yes. That will be more helpful, I think.
16
             Is that the graphic?
         Q
17
             Yes. And I think I'll stand up again.
             THE COURT: You may.
18
19
              MR. PHILLIPS: Just for the record, this
        will be Defense Exhibit 1496.
20
21
              Sorry, Mr. Burnley. Go ahead.
              THE WITNESS: I really need to start with
22
23
        the beginning so you can understand what I'm
24
        talking about.
              But I showed you this leaf before. And
```

Harold Burnley Direct 54
this leaf has some stem in it. This piece
right here is called the mid-rib.

If we were to chop this leaf up and put it
into our cigarettes, this rib would be a

1 2

into our cigarettes, this rib would be a problem because it would poke holes in the cigarette paper. It would burn at a different rate than this lighter tobacco. And it would have a different taste.

So, the first thing that Philip Morris, or any tobacco company, does is remove this portion of the leaf from the stem. And it comes apart pretty easily.

Of course, it's done, this is done mechanically in a process called the stemmery or in stemming.

And the stem, however, though is saved. And you'll also see that there are little small pieces of tobacco that are still on the stem that are not easily recovered.

And I also showed you awhile ago that when they dry out they become very, very fragile.

So, since this is all perfectly good tobacco, and, to be perfectly honest with you, we paid the same amount of money for the stem as we do for the leaf, it is worth a couple of

Harold Burnley Direct 1 dollars a pound, the company has developed two 2 ways to reconstitute this stem or to reuse it since it is perfectly good tobacco. And the first process I'm going to 5 describe to you, and I apologize for the poor 6 diagram, but I'll try to make it easy, shows 7 how this stem is converted back into useful 8 tobacco that looks a lot like this. 9 Here's what we do. I have a couple of 10 other samples. Starting --11 I'll put this back here for you. 12 Q 13 Α Thank you. 14 Q Sure. 15 The starting material for this plant or Α this process, the stem comes from the stemmery. The 16 17 stem, just like I created when I hand-stemmed that 18 tobacco, but it is ground to a very, very fine 19 powder, sort of like, I don't know, sort of like 20 flour or talcum powder, very, very fine. 21 There's also some other fine pieces 22 of tobacco that, because it is so fragile, fall off 23 in the processed tobacco. And those are collected 24 and they are saved. And they are also ground to a very, very fine consistency, as well.

Harold Burnley Direct 1 So, this picture just shows the 2 starting material. The tobacco starting materials are stem and little tiny pieces of tobacco. They are ground into a fine powder. 5 We learned sometime ago that tobacco 6 stem contains a substance called pectin. It is 7 exactly the same substance that you might use to 8 thicken jellies and jams, and it is generally a 9 thickener that you are probably familiar with. 10 Unfortunately, the form that the pectin is in, it is in a form called calcium 11 12 pectate. It is a pectin salt. And whenever you 13 see, not always, but whenever you see calcium salts, 14 they are very often insoluble in water. They don't 15 dissolve in water. The inventor of this process thought 16 17 that if he could release that pectin, that calcium 18 pectate, from the tobacco, and get it into water or get it into solution, he would have a natural glue 19 20 that would hold the tobacco pieces together. And 21 that's what this process is about. 22 He learned that, by adding DAP, which 23 is diammonium phosphate, that he could convert the 24 pectin from calcium pectate to ammonium pectate, which is very water soluble.

Harold Burnley Direct He also learned that sometimes the 1 reaction would work and sometimes it wouldn't. And he found out that the reason for that is that this reaction be only took place at a certain pH. So a small amount of ammonium 6 hydroxide is added also to this solution that I'm 7 building for you now in order to adjust the pH of 8 the solution so that the calcium pectate will be 9 converted to ammonium pectate. 10 We also add water and some flavors and the same humectants that I described to you a 11 12 little while ago, glycerine and propylene glycol. 13 So, the next thing that happens is 14 this solution that's prepared with these substances 15 is mixed with the tobacco and it's agitated in a 16 very large tank. 17 This reaction doesn't take place 18 immediately. It takes time. So, the tank is large. 19 This mixture is held for a while. 20 And what happens is all of that, not 21 all, but some of that calcium pectate now is soluble 22 in water because it has become ammonium. 23 After the proper amount of aging, so 24 we get as much of that conversion as possible, this slurry, and it is hard to describe what it looks

Harold Burnley Direct 1 like, but if you are familiar with apple butter, it is sort of like the color and consistency of apple butter. And what we do is spread a thin layer 5 of this slurry on a moving stainless steel band. It is like a -- it is sort of like a giant cookie 7 sheet, that goes through a dryer, that looks like a 8 very big pizza oven. So, this stainless steel band 9 containing the wet slurry goes through the dryer. 10 And a couple of things happen. 11 One is, it is dried so you are able 12 to peel off the tobacco sheet from the other end. 13 But also what makes it very useful is 14 that the reaction that I talked about before, where, 15 and I apologize for the chemistry, but the calcium pectate was changed to ammonium pectate because we 16 17 wanted it to become soluble, but in a cigarette 18 factory we are actually working with blending and 19 flavoring this material you want the sheet to hold 20 together. 21 So what happens in the dryer is, the 22 ammonium is very volatile, it is a gas, and it is 23 released or it is driven off a sheet. 24 And the ammonium pectate goes back to calcium pectate. So now it is insoluble, and it is

1 strong. 2 So now you have a sheet that is peeled off of the belt. It is cut into pieces that look like tobacco chunks. And it is blended in with 5 our other tobaccos in our cigarette factories. So, that is why ammonium hydroxide 7 and diammonium phosphate are used in this process. 8 And, frankly, it is the only reason it is used in 9 this process. 10 Now, did Philip Morris obtain patents with Q 11 respect to the use of diammonium phosphate in this 12 reconstituted tobacco manufacturing process? 13 A Yes, sir. Okay. This is Defense Exhibit 777. It's 14 15 a 1967 patent. Is this the patent that describes the use of ammonium phosphate in the process that 16 you just described? 17 18 A I can't read it. 19 Q Maybe we can pull up the abstract so it 20 can be readable. 21 Yes, sir. That's it. Α 22 Okay. Q 23 MR. TAUMAN: Is there an exhibit number 24 for this?

MR. PHILLIPS: 777.

Harold Burnley Direct 60 MR. TAUMAN: Thank you. 1 2 BY MR. PHILLIPS: Q Is this the other patent that refers the use of ammonium in the process of making blended 5 leaf? Yes, sir, it is. 6 7 Okay. That's a 1967 patent? Q 8 That's correct. Α 9 Q That's Exhibit 799. All right. 10 Now, there's another reconstituted tobacco that you manufacture other than blended 11 12 leaf; right? 13 A That's correct. Q And that's called? 14 15 It is called RL. It stands for A 16 reconstituted leaf. 17 And diammonium phosphate is used in that Q 18 process, as well? 19 A It is. 20 Could you explain how that works? A 21 Sure. Maybe I'll go into a little less 22 detail. But in the early 70's, probably 1970, as 23 the company's business grew, we needed more 24 reconstituted tobacco capacity. And there was a new 25 process available invented by a paper company called

Harold Burnley Direct 61 1 Switzer. And the company decided to use that new technology. And it is similar in some ways and it is different in some ways. The starting materials 5 are exactly the same. It is tobacco stem and small pieces of -- small pieces of tobacco. But instead of grinding this material 8 and making a slurry and cast it on a belt, we use a paper-making process where the stem is put in a 9 large tank with hot water. And we try to remove as 10 many of the water-soluble portions of tobacco from 11 12 the cellulose. That's the solid part that's left. 13 Exactly what paper companies do when they make a 14 sheet of paper. 15 But, to make a long story short, we 16 make a sheet of paper with fiber. Then we recover 17 the solubles because that's where the flavor is. If 18 we did not do that, it wouldn't be a usable product. 19 It would probably be like smoking a grocery bag or 20 something. 21 However, this product tastes 22 different when this material is blended into the 23 cigarette. 24 And I mentioned to you earlier that one of the main themes that Philip Morris'

Harold Burnley Direct 62 1 manufacturing has been over the years is to really try to make a product consistent so the consumer knows exactly what to expect. When we started using RL, we found 5 that it did change the taste of the cigarette quite 6 a bit. So the flavor chemists were asked to try to 7 come up a flavor that would make this RL product 8 very similar in taste to BL so it could be 9 substituted and the consumer would find it 10 acceptable. So, not surprisingly, I guess, not a 11 12 lot of rocket science, they went back with the same 13 additives that were used in BL to see if they would 14 impart the same taste. And they found that using 15 diammonium phosphate and some urea at the time that the taste of these two products became much more 16 17 close together, not exactly, but close enough so 18 that, as used in the cigarette, the consume are 19 wouldn't object to it. 20 So, that's why these ammonia 21 compounds are used in RL. It was simply to make it 22 taste as much like BL as we could. 23 So, I guess, just to sort of 24 summarize, there are two reasons. One, we use ammonia compounds to physically let us make a BL

Harold Burnley Direct 63 1 sheet; and, second, as a flavorant to make RL smoke as much like BL as possible. 0 Now, is ammonia something you actually find in the tobacco leaf or is this something that 5 is extraneous to it? 6 A Yes. There's quite a bit of ammonia in 7 naturally-occurring tobacco. 8 Was that one of the benefits of using 9 ammonia to help with the blended-leaf process? 10 A Well, I think so. I mean, it is sort of tobacco-identical people. You weren't introducing a 11 foreign flavor or a substance that you would later 12 13 have to compensate for by other blending or other 14 flavor systems. So, it turned out to just be a very 15 pleasant-smoking product and one that our consumers enjoyed. And, yes, probably because it is 16 17 naturally-occurring tobacco. 18 Q In either of these reconstituted leaf 19 manufacturing processes, is any nicotine that's not already in the tobacco leaf added to the tobacco? 20 No. In fact, in fact, some is lost. 21 Α 22 And before we leave this subject, on the 23 subject of urea, I want you to assume that there's 24 been some testimony that maybe the source of that,

to put it delicately, is either from animal or human

```
Harold Burnley Direct 64
   waste. Is that correct, sir?
 2 A No. Urea is synthesized from ammonia and
 3 carbon dioxide.
             Is it a synthetic chemical then?
 5
             It is. I mean, it may be naturally
         Α
 6
    occurring in small quantities in nature, but it is
    synthesized from ammonia and CO2.
7
              MR. PHILLIPS: All right.
8
9
              I would like to move to another subject to
10
         see if we can get through it before the morning
11
         break, Your Honor.
12
    BY MR. PHILLIPS:
13
14
        Q
             The jury has already heard some testimony
15
16
              THE COURT: We may go a little before --
17
              MR. PHILLIPS: Excuse me, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: -- 10:30, a little longer, if
18
19
         not a lot, because the other court reporter is
20
         having computer problems, also.
              MR. PHILLIPS: Very well, Your Honor.
21
22
              THE COURT: So, proceed.
23
             MR. PHILLIPS: You let me know then when
24
        you want to break.
             THE COURT: All right.
```

Harold Burnley Direct 65 BY MR. PHILLIPS: 1 Q The jury has already heard some testimony in this case regarding a project called NOD, naturally-occurring denitrification. 5 Did you have any role in that 6 process, as a process engineer, sir? 7 A Actually, I did. At the time, I was 8 running the Process Engineering Department or 9 Project and Process Engineering Department. And, as I mentioned earlier, my job was to translate what R 10 & D had done into commercial manufacturing 11 12 processes. 13 So, yeah, I followed that project for 14 many years, and I was responsible for or it would 15 have been my job to scale it up and do the 16 commercial installation. 17 It was your job to actually commercialize 18 this system out of the R & D department? 19 A Yes, sir, it was. 20 And were you able to commercialize it, 21 sir? 22 We had our -- the short answer is no. We 23 had -- we had our share of problems with NOD. It

was, frankly a very, very clever idea. And it showed -- there was a lot of excitement around it.

24

Harold Burnley Direct 1 In the early days, it showed lot of promise. The idea was to take naturally-occurring organisms, soil organisms, and, under the right conditions, we thought that those microorganisms 5 could be controlled in a way that would react with, consume, eat, whatever terminology you want to use, 7 potassium nitrate that is in tobacco, is in tobacco stems as a result of fertilizers. 8 9 After several years, we were just 10 unable to solve all of the problems. In fact, we were building, expanding 11 12 our reconstituted leaf plant. 13 We had another process that was used 14 to denitrate RL. It was a physical process. 15 was, we called it crystallization. But we wanted, we thought there was an opportunity to totally 16 17 denitrate the product. Crystallization is not 100 percent effective. So, our intent was to 18 19 install this NOD process as an alternative to 20 crystallization. The time came. We had to make a 21 22 decision. And we just had unsolvable problems. 23 process wasn't reliable. It would start sometimes. 24 Other times it wouldn't. And, you know, if you are designing and operating a commercial factory, you

Harold Burnley Direct 67 have got to be able to rely on start-ups. So when 1 you shut down for cleaning or shut down for maintenance, you have got to be able to start back up and start it back up in a reliable way. 5 Sometimes we could start it up. And 6 sometimes we couldn't. Sometimes the reaction would 7 just stop in mid-stream. 8 This reaction worked by converting 9 potassium nitrate to a nitrite salt and then into 10 nitrogen gas. And sometimes it would stop and just stop at a point where nitrate was converted to 11 12 nitrite. We didn't know why. But we also believe 13 that nitrite was more hazardous than nitrite, and 14 that was unacceptable. 15 And sometimes, I guess, because of varying nutrients in the feed materials, the tobacco 16 17 materials, other reactions would take place where we 18 would generate organic acids, butyric acid and 19 others that smelled like rancid butter and week-old gym socks. I mean, it was just very obnoxious. 20 21 MR. WOBBROCK: Your Honor, we are going 22 over the same thing we did yesterday. I 23 object. It's cumulative. 24 THE COURT: All right. 25 As long as he moves right along --

Harold Burnley Direct 68 1 MR. PHILLIPS: We are about going to 2 complete this. THE COURT: Proceed, counsel. BY MR. PHILLIPS: 5 Let me ask you this. Were you the Q 6 engineer who had to make the recommendation about 7 whether the company should have commercialized this 8 project? 9 Α I am, yes. 10 And from your own perspective, is this a Q project that you wanted to succeed? 11 Absolutely. I mean, we had spent millions 12 and millions of dollars and had, I don't know, 50, 13 14 75 or so people working on it for a long time. We 15 were committed. The company was committed to 16 installing this technology. 17 It didn't make me feel particularly 18 good to say that we don't know how to make this 19 thing work. 20 But I didn't know how. We didn't 21 have reliable reaction rates. I didn't have the 22 size of the vessels, the pumps, the tanks. There 23 just wasn't sufficient data there to design a 24 reliable or to design any commercial process. I want you to assume, Mr. Burnley, that

Harold Burnley Direct 1 there's been testimony that the real reason that this project, NOD, was not installed is because it was going to cost \$100 million dollars; is that correct? 5 That's not correct, because we even had Α 6 money appropriated in what we call the 650 document, the funding document for the plan. The company 7 8 spent a lot of money, and the company intended to install this technology if it had worked. 9 In fact, even after we had to make 10 the decision because of timing to go with 11 crystallization, the R & D folks continued to try to 12 13 work with it. 14 And did you have occasion to work with Dr. 15 Farone and Dr. Uydess, whose testimony has been read 16 in this case, with respect to the NOD project? 17 Yes, I did. And did either of those gentlemen have the 18 Q 19 responsibility to make the engineering decision 20 about whether the product or this project could actually commercialize? 21 Α 22 Well, no, they didn't have -- I guess, no, 23 they didn't. 24 Q And did Dr. Farone ever tell you, in your working relationship with him, that he believed that

Harold Burnley Direct the project was not commercialized because it cost 1 2 too much? MR. WOBBROCK: Objection. Hearsay. 4 THE COURT: All right. I'll sustain the 5 objection. 6 Proceed on, counsel. 7 BY MR. PHILLIPS: 8 Q Did you ever hear -- I'll strike that. 9 That's fine. MR. PHILLIPS: I would like -- I am going 10 to go into another area, but I'm taking my cue 11 from you, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. We'll go a little 13 14 farther. 15 Go ahead. MR. PHILLIPS: All right. 16 17 BY MR. PHILLIPS: 18 Q I would like to turn to the SCoR program. 19 This is the program that you mentioned at the beginning of your testimony. Is that a program that 20 21 you are the executive sponsor for; is that right? A Yes, that's correct. 22 23 And, again, could you just again tell us 24 what SCoR stands for? Yes. It is just an internal acronym that

Harold Burnley Direct 71 1 means smoke constituent reduction. Q Smoke constituent reduction? Α Yes. 0 Now, why don't you take a moment. Again, 5 Dr. Whidby testified yesterday about unconventional cigarettes like the Accord cigarette. Is the SCoR 7 program a conventional cigarette program? 8 A Yes. Yeah, it is just a normal lit-end 9 cigarette, as opposed to the electrically-lit 10 cigarette, as I guess Dr. Whidby described yesterday. 11 Why don't you tell us a little bit about 12 13 this program that you are the executive sponsor for? 14 A Okay. I guess I would say that it is the 15 culmination of a lot of experience and a lot of 16 years of research at Philip Morris. 17 We have been working on ways to 18 reduce the amounts of substances in tobacco smoke, 19 which you probably heard about, that we and others 20 believe are hazardous. About a year ago, our executive 21 22 management, our CEO, Mike Szymanczyk created what he 23 called a mission imperative. And he said that 24 marketing, designing and marketing a reduced-risk conventional cigarette is the most important project

Harold Burnley Direct 72 1 initiative we have on our plate. 2 And I was asked to pull a team of people together to commercialize this product as soon as possible. And we were given some very 5 aggressive time lines. 6 So, what this is all about then is 7 assembling a team of R & D product designers to 8 actually, actually do the conceptual design of the 9 product. 10 To pull together a team of engineers to develop the machinery necessary to make this 11 12 product, because it can't be made on our existing 13 machinery. It is a different product. 14 To design the physical 15 infrastructure, the buildings to procure the 16 equipment to purchase the machinery. 17 To work with our scientific affairs 18 people to make sure that we assess the product 19 correctly and evaluate it correctly so that what we 20 market is a serious product and one that's got a 21 chance of reducing the risk associated with smoking. 22 It involves working with marketing to 23 discuss, you know, how we will be able to 24 communicate about this product with the consumer. 25 So, I guess I could say it's a

```
culmination of a lot of work, and my job is to pull
 1
   it all together and make it happen.
              THE COURT: Counsel, why don't we stop
 4
         right here.
 5
              MR. PHILLIPS: Very well, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right.
 6
 7
              Members of the jury, your regular morning
8
         break. Thank you.
9
             All right. Court is out of session.
10
              The witness may step down.
                          * * *
11
            (Whereupon, Vol. 36-A adjourned, and
12
        Vol. 36-B was reported by Katie Bradford.)
13
                           * * *
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

STATE OF OREGON 1)) SS. County of Multnomah) 3 4 I, Jennifer Wiles, hereby certify that I 5 am an Official Court Reporter to the Circuit 6 Court of the State of Oregon for Multnomah 7 County; that I reported in Stenotype the 8 foregoing proceedings and subsequently 9 transcribed my said shorthand notes into the typewritten transcript, pages 1 through 74, 10 both inclusive; that the said transcript 11 12 constitutes a full, true and accurate record of 13 the proceedings, as requested, to the best of 14 my knowledge, ability and belief. 15 Dated this 22nd day of August, 2002 at 16 Portland, Oregon. 17 18 19 20 Jennifer Wiles Official Court Reporter 21 22 23 24 25

